

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/068,556	02/06/2002	Hiroyoshi Kodama	2803.66203	6955
24978 7590 08/11/2004			EXAMINER	
GREER, BURNS & CRAIN 300 S WACKER DR			RICKMAN, HOLLY C	
25TH FLOOR CHICAGO, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1773	

DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary				\vee			
		10/068,556	KODAMA ET AL.				
	- Cinco Notion Cammary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	Holly Rickman	1773				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence addre	:SS			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication experiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per uncertainty of the property of the property will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, n. reply within the statutory minimum riod will apply and will expire SIX (6 atute, cause the application to beco	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this comming ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	nunication.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3	0 April 2004.					
2a) <u></u>		his action is non-final.					
3)							
Disposit	ion of Claims						
		in the application					
	Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-14 and 20-22</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4,6,7,9,10,13,14 and 20-22 is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) <u>5,8,11-12</u> is/are objected to.	.,					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement	t.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		d to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor		- , ,	1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.	.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		een received in this National Sta	ige			
	application from the International Bur						
* S	see the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies	not received.				
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interv	iew Summary (PTO-413)				
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ · No(s)/Mail Date	08) 5) 🖳 Notice	No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15) :	2)			
Patent and Tr	ademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/068,556

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-2, 4, 6-7, 9, and 14 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 3, 7, and 11 of U.S. Patent No. 5900323. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the presently claimed genus is anticipated by the species set forth in claims 3, 7, and 11 of US 5900323. See *In re Goodman*, (CAFC) 29 USPQ2d 2010.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/068,556 Page 3

Art Unit: 1773

4. The rejection of claims 1-4, 6-10, 13-14 and 20-22 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Teng et al. (US 5721033) is withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendments and arguments.

5. Claims 1-2, 4, 6-7, 9-10, 13-14, and 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Takahashi et al. (WO 2000/74042A1 – see US equivalent 6709775 for translation).

Takahashi et al. disclose a magnetic recording medium having an Al substrate, a NiP layer ("crystal orientation improving layer"), a W island-like seedlayer having a thickness of 1 nm, a Cr layer, CoCrPtTa magnetic layer, and a C overcoat (see Fig 15 of WO 2000/74042; see US 6709775: col. 13, lines 9-14; col. 16, lines 11-18; col. 26, Table 1 1; col. 11, lines 8-10).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The rejection of claims 11-12 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Teng et al. (US 5721033) in view of Chen et al. (US 6461750) is withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendment and arguments.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 5, 8 and 11-12 are is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 1773

Claims 5, 8, and 11-12 are allowable over the closest prior art to Takahashi et al.

Takahashi et al. fail to teach or suggest the use of a Re containing seedlayer that has a higher surface energy than that of the crystal orientation improving layer. The reference also fails to teach or suggest the use of an adhesion improving seedlayer disposed beneath an island-like seedlayer and above a crystal-orientation improving layer and the specific CoCrPtTa-based magnetic alloys set forth in claims 11-12.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Holly Rickman whose telephone number is (571) 272-1514. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul J. Thibodeau can be reached on (571) 272-1516. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

August 9, 2004

HOLLY RICKMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Holly dich